

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/829,569	04/22/2004	Dixie Reader	30043/04000	6349	
27874 7	590 10/06/2006		EXAMINER		
•	LTER & GRISWOL	CRONIN, STEPHEN K			
1110 FIFTH THIRD CENTER 21 EAST STATE STREET			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	OH 43215-4243		3747		
		•	DATE MAILED: 10/06/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				(
		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/829,569	READER, DIXIE			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Stephen K. Cronin	3747			
	- The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	pears on the cover sheet with t	he correspondence address			
Period fo	• •					
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failui Any r	CRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLEHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DESIGNS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statuties the ply received by the Office later than three months after the mailined patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS e, cause the application to become ABANI	TION. be timely filed from the mailing date of this communication DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on					
-		s action is non-final.				
3) 🗌	·					
	closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 1	1, 453 O.G. 213.			
Dispositi	on of Claims					
4) 🖂	Claim(s) <u>20</u> is/are pending in the application.		·			
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	wn from consideration.				
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
	Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are rejected.					
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.	1 = 12 = 2 = 2 = 2 = 2 = 2				
8)[Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.				
Applicati	on Papers					
9) 🗌 🤈	The specification is objected to by the Examin	er.				
10)🛛	The drawing(s) filed on <u>22 April 2004</u> is/are: a		•			
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
11)□	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	•	•			
·	•	xammer. Note the attached O	fince Action of form FTO-152.			
	inder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 1	19(a)-(d) or (f).			
a)[☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of: 1.☐ Certified copies of the priority documen	ts have been received				
	2. Certified copies of the priority documen		lication No.			
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the price.					
	application from the International Burea	•	•			
* S	see the attached detailed Office action for a list	t of the certified copies not red	ceived.			
Attachmen	t(s)					
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Sum				
	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)		fail Date mal Patent Application			
	r No(s)/Mail Date <u>4/22/04</u> .	6) Other:				

Drawings

1. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the structure set forth in claims 5-10, 12 and 14-20 must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

2. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/829,569 Page 3

Art Unit: 3747

3. Claims 2 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claims 2 and 12 contain the trademark/trade name Velcro. Where a trademark or trade name is used in a claim as a limitation to identify or describe a particular material or product, the claim does not comply with the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph. See *Ex parte Simpson*, 218 USPQ 1020 (Bd. App. 1982). The claim scope is uncertain since the trademark or trade name cannot be used properly to identify any particular material or product. A trademark or trade name is used to identify a source of goods, and not the goods themselves. Thus, a trademark or trade name does not identify or describe the goods associated with the trademark or trade name. In the present case, the trademark/trade name is used to identify/describe a fastening means and, accordingly, the identification/description is indefinite.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 5. Claims 1-5, 9, 11-14, 18 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Farrar 3,967,666.

Farrar teaches an article bag comprising a body 10 forming an inverted pouch (see figure 1), an opening in the bottom of the pouch secured by fasteners 68, storage

Application/Control Number: 10/829,569 Page 4

Art Unit: 3747

pockets on the front 52 and rear 24 of the pouch, the pouch and pockets are made of a flexible material, handles 70, pleats 52, and additional pockets 62.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. Claims 6, 10, 15 and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Farrar 3,967,666.

Farrar teaches the claimed invention except for the claimed indicia and netting forming the pockets. The examiner takes official notice that placing any form of indicia on a material article for holding an object is old and well known in the art and to do so to the article of Farrar for identification or aesthetic reasons would have been obvious. Further, it is old and well known that pockets of article holder and carriers can be formed from netting for a variety of reasons (visual identification of the contents, allowing air to enter the pocket to dry wet items, etc.), it therefore would have been obvious to form the pockets of Farrar in this manner for any of the known prior art reasons.

8. Claims 7, 8, 16 and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Farrar 3,967,666 in view of Mills 2004/0016453 A1.

Application/Control Number: 10/829,569 Page 5

Art Unit: 3747

To provide the pockets of Farrar with flaps secured to then in the manner as taught by Mills in order to ensure that the contents of the pockets are not lost would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art.

Conclusion

9. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Stephen K. Cronin at telephone number 571-272-4536.

Stephen K. Cronin

SPE

Art Unit 3747